

EDITORIAL: CODES: POWER AND SUBVERSION

THE EDITORIAL TEAM

[content@on-culture.org](mailto:content@on-culture.org)

<https://www.on-culture.org/editorial-team/>

KEYWORDS

Code, cultural codes, social codes, digital codes, publishing

PUBLICATION DATE

Issue 14, December 21, 2022

HOW TO CITE

The Editorial Team. "Editorial: Codes: Power and Subversion." *On Culture: The Open Journal for the Study of Culture* 14 (2022).

<https://doi.org/10.22029/oc.2022.1343>.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22029/oc.2022.1343>



## Editorial: Codes: Power and Subversion



Fig. 1: Teaser Image of *On\_Culture*'s 14th issue on the topic of "Codes: Power and Subversion"

Codes can be read or written, imposed or resisted, performed or enacted; they can be broken or switched, made explicit or implemented covertly, can reinforce binaries or explode into multiplicities. Codes hold power over subjectivity and the individual, to shape relationships and the social; they are socio-technological assemblages and tacitly give structure to cultures. Often, it is only when codes are altered that we become aware of their cultural power.

Current threats such as the global pandemic and/or the recent increase in cyber-attacks, in this regard, have shown uncanny parallels. Over the last few years, when supermarket shelves in a country of the Global North have suddenly sat empty, experience has shown at least two very different potential reasons for the unexpected scarcity. One might come to the conclusion that either the social code is no longer upheld of purchasing only what is needed, or that the IT infrastructure for the logistics chain is disrupted when purchase limits are enforced. Either way, those uncanny moments tell us that something here is *off*, something does not work as usual, something has interrupted the patterns of everyday life. In this case, one explanation for such goings-on could be that the codes that run our day-to-day life have been corrupted.

Codes also structure the teaser image designed for this issue. One's first glance probably falls upon the QR code. Its affordances, which became so familiar to us over

the course of the pandemic, beckon us to fetch our smartphones and follow the referential trail. This form of codes acts as a passageway. In this case, it is self-referential. Performing the act suggested to us by the code only leads us back to where we started: this issue of *On\_Culture*. Thus, our issue on Codes is encoded within the issue itself—a code that leads to Codes. A *mise en abyme*, codes led *ad absurdum*. The machines and their codes, which we control as much as they control us, again only become visible when they mislead us.

On a second glance, a specter looms in the background, fading into black, standing in for the code that makes this communicative act possible in the first place. As the presence of our journal is based completely in the digital and comes into existence through the digital realm of an e-publishing platform, exchange of emails, text-editor programs, and collaborative online working environments, both this journal and the current issue are made possible only through the means provided by the codes that engender cyberspace, operating systems, and software. Thus, the production of this issue itself is a process of interweaving of digital and cultural codes. As cultural scholars, we, the editorial team together with our authors, have thought about the cultural potential and implications of codes as a concept in both analog and digital spheres. With this online issue, we present to you the result of this collaborative process.

This issue of *On\_Culture* looks at the intersections, entanglements, and overlaps of the workings of cultural and social codes with codes of the digital realm.

In her *article*, Amy Lynne Hill analyzes selfies posted by trans-identifying Instagram users to explore how the selfie can function as a powerful tool to challenge and disrupt oppressive codes of subjectivity. She argues that the act of selfie-taking by persons whom society often regards as abject beings is an act of abjection itself: a form of digital abjection, which empowers the person posting the selfie to establish a self-defined subjectivity, thereby encoding themselves as subjects in society.

Amin Heidari, in his *article*, explores the work of codes in (multicultural) emojis. Taking a Foucauldian perspective, Heidari analyzes their design in the context of stereotypical representation and discursive identity construction in the age of neoliberalism. Shedding light on two central visual codes in multicultural emojis, he argues that there is a problematic color categorization as well as a body template underlying the emojis' design.

Based on the close reading of tweets made by the Norwegian police, Guro Flinterud's *\_article* explores the entanglement between technological and discursive embodiment of code. Studying code as a material-discursive concept, Flinterud examines how the material incarnation of code integrates into a discursive one which enables us to see the technical side of the meaning-making process.

Maya Halatcheva-Trapp uses her *\_perspective* to explore the affective dimension of the scientific process of coding. In reflecting on her own experience of working with codes in Grounded Theory, she highlights the reciprocal relation between the researcher and codes, which sometimes seem to develop a life of their own, and underlines the importance of having a well-defined field exit, so as not to become tangled in the interacting affects and codes.

### **Acknowledgements**

Every issue of *On\_Culture* is a long-term project that takes more than a year to reach publication and requires multiple tasks to complete and the dedication of a number of people. We would therefore like to take the opportunity this editorial provides us with to thank everyone who worked towards bringing the issue to completion, including all our team members, current and former, who were involved in the issue. In particular, we thank our team member Eva Zimmermann and former team member Marie-Christine Boucher for pitching their idea of publishing an issue on the topic of codes. We are especially thankful to Eva Zimmermann for taking the lead in conceptualizing the issue and writing the Call for Abstracts. We thank our authors who answered to this Call and filled the issue with thought-provoking content. We are very much indebted to the expertise and commitment of our external reviewers whose valuable feedback ensures the academic quality of the journal. We further thank Katalin Gébl and Miriam von Kutzleben for their assistance in the editorial work and checking all contributions for formal requirements. We thank our proofreaders Marija Spirkovska, Shawna Vesco, and Anne Wheeler for their continued great work—even on sometimes very short notice. We thank team members Robin Schmieder and Isabella Kalte for picking up the pen from Eva, so to speak, and writing this editorial, as well as all team members for polishing the draft into a coherent text. Last but not least, we

would like to thank team member Annalina Benner for channeling her outstanding creative energy and designing the teaser image.

Giessen, December 2022

The Editorial Team

Annalina Benner, Juan Camilo Brigard, Pinar Gümüş Mantu, Isabella Kalte, Jens Kugele, Margarita Pavlova, Robin Schmieder, and Eva Zimmermann